

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/629,307	07/29/2003	Toshiaki Yoshihara	1100.68223	6440	
24978 7	590 08/05/2005		EXAM	INER	
GREER, BURNS & CRAIN			SCHECHTER, ANDREW M		
300 S WACKE	ER DR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
25TH FLOOR CHICAGO, II			2871		
52_5.105,			DATE MAILED: 08/05/200	DATE MAILED: 08/05/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>V</b>			K			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/629,307	YOSHIHARA ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andrew Schechter	2871				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 29 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.				
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:</li> </ol>	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropring the final Office of the final rejection, and the final rejection, and the final rejection, and the final rejection, and the final rejection of the final rejection.	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS						
<ul> <li>3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a)  They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or</li> </ul>						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  5. ☑ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continuation Sheet.						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate,					
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 10-12. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-9. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	☑ will not be entered, or b) □ wil vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
<ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and</li> </ul>	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and			
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)				

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Application No. 10/629,307

## Continuation of 3. NOTE:

The proposed amendment to claim 1 reciting an electric field strength of more than 5 V/micron raises issues which have not previously been considered, so it would require further search and consideration to determine if the claims were allowable. The proposed amendment to claim 8 is analogous.

## Continuation of 5.

Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The rejections of claim 12 under 35 U.S.C. 112 are withdrawn, and claim 12 would be allowed either as it stands now or amended as proposed (since claim 12 depends on claim 10 which is allowed). As pointed out by the applicant, the specification enables the recited claim; and if both voltages are zero, there is in fact some induced voltage on the pixel electrode, which means there is some electric field between the pixel and common electrodes to accomplish the intended task. The language is therefore clear and the claim is enabled, so the previous rejections are withdrawn.

Andrew Schechter Primary Examiner

Technology Center 2800

Andre bleetto

4 August 2005